

This article was downloaded by:

On: 26 January 2011

Access details: *Access Details: Free Access*

Publisher *Taylor & Francis*

Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 37-41 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK



Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:

<http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title~content=t713597286>

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SUPPORTS FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES WITHOUT USING AMMONIA

Anna Aviñó^a; Ramon Güimil García^b; Antonio Díaz^b; Fernando Albericio^c; Ramon Eritja^{ab}

^a Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo CSIC, Barcelona, Spain ^b European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL), Meyerhofstrasse 1, Heidelberg, Germany ^c Dpt de Química Orgànica, Universitat de Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

To cite this Article Aviñó, Anna , García, Ramon Güimil , Díaz, Antonio , Albericio, Fernando and Eritja, Ramon(1996) 'A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SUPPORTS FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES WITHOUT USING AMMONIA', Nucleosides, Nucleotides and Nucleic Acids, 15: 11, 1871 – 1889

To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/07328319608002739

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/07328319608002739>

PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: <http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf>

This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.

The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

A COMPARATIVE STUDY OF SUPPORTS FOR THE SYNTHESIS OF OLIGONUCLEOTIDES WITHOUT USING AMMONIA

Anna Aviñó¹, Ramon Güimil García², Antonio Díaz², Fernando Albericio³ and Ramon Eritja^{*,1,2}.

¹Centro de Investigación y Desarrollo, CSIC. Jordi Girona 18. 08034 Barcelona. Spain.

²European Molecular Biology Laboratory (EMBL). Meyerhofstrasse 1, D-69117 Heidelberg. Germany.

³Dpt de Química Orgànica. Universitat de Barcelona. Diagonal 647. 08028 Barcelona. Spain.

Abstract: A comparative study of the cleavage efficiency of succinyl, phthaloyl, oxalyl, 2-(2-nitrophenyl)ethyl, 9-fluorenylmethyl, and 2-nitrobenzyl supports in 0.5M DBU solutions is described. A decrease in cleavage efficiency is observed when small oligonucleotides containing thymidine are linked to the supports. In these conditions oxalyl supports gave the best yields followed by 2-(2-nitrophenyl)ethyl and 9-fluorenylmethyl supports.

Solid phase oligonucleotide synthesis relies on the use of solid supports in where the first nucleoside is covalently attached to the solid support. In almost all the cases, a 3'-succinate is attached to the support via an amide bond¹. At the end of the synthesis the oligonucleotide is released with concentrated ammonia together with the rest of the amino and phosphate protecting groups. Recently, the need for modified oligonucleotides carrying ammonia sensitive moieties has lead to the development of linkers that could be cleaved under milder conditions such as oxalyl², *o*-nitrobenzyl³ and *p*-nitrophenylethyl⁴ linkers. The oxalyl linker is much more sensitive to bases than the conventional nucleoside-succinyl supports, and it is cleaved using diluted solutions of primary and secondary amines.² The *o*-nitrobenzyl linker is cleaved by photolysis and the *o*-nitrophenylethyl is cleaved by non-nucleophilic bases (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DBU). The utility of these special linkers has been demonstrated during the preparation of oligonucleotides carrying ammonia sensitive molecules such as O-methyl

phosphotriesters², 5'-fatty ester conjugates⁵, thymidine C5-hydrate^{3c}, O-alkylthymidine⁶, 2-fluorohypoxanthine⁶ and 5-aza-2'-deoxycytidine.⁶

During the preparation of oligonucleotides using the *o*-nitrophenylethyl linker the presence of dimethoxytrityl (DMT) groups was still observed on DMT-oligonucleotide-supports after long DBU treatments (16 hours with 0.5 M DBU in pyridine).^{6,7} This result was surprising knowing that DMT-nucleosides were released from *o*-nitrophenylethyl supports using DBU solutions in less than one hour.^{4a} In the present communication we analyze the release of small oligonucleotides from supports having different linkers such as succinyl^{8,9}, phthaloyl⁸, oxalyl,² *o*-nitrophenylethyl (NPE)⁴, 9-fluorenylmethyl (FM)¹⁰ and *o*-nitrobenzyl³. Some of these supports have been described to be cleaved by DBU solutions but the cleavage was always measured on DMT-nucleoside-supports.^{2,4,8,9} No data was available for oligonucleotides linked to the supports.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Stability of the NPE linker to DBU.

DMT-nucleosides protected with the (*p*-nitrophenyl)ethyl (NPE) and (*p*-nitrophenyl)ethoxycarbonyl (NPEOC) groups linked to controlled-pore glass (CPG) through a 2-(*o*-nitrophenyl)ethyloxycarbonyl (NPE) linker (FIGURE 1) were prepared as described.⁴

DMT-T-NPE-support was treated with 0.5 M DBU in dry pyridine. At different times the solution was filtered and the cleavage efficiency was measured by the absorbance of the DMT cation in the filtrates compared with the amount DMT cation present on the solid support. The release of DMT-T from NPE support was very fast and quantitative (see FIGURE 2). After 1 minute of DBU treatment 60% of the DMT-T was released and after 10 minutes most of the DMT-T was on the solution (more than 90%).

When DMT-TT-NPE-support was treated with 0.5 M DBU in dry pyridine a different behavior was observed (FIGURE 2). After 1 hour the release of DMT-TT arrived to a plateau near 50% cleavage efficiency and decreases slowly to 45%. The same profile was observed using dry dioxane or dry dichloromethane instead of pyridine. Increased temperatures up to 60 degrees did not increase the cleavage efficiency. Keeping the deprotection solution in rigorous anhydrous conditions or in the dark also did not increase the yields. Renewing the deprotection solution every 5 minutes was also attempted without any increase of the overall yield. Finally, adding 5 mg of thymine to the deprotection




FIGURE 1 : Solid supports prepared in this work. DMT stands for dimethoxytrityl; NPE is *o*-nitrophenylethyl; FM is fluorenylmethyl; R is 2-cyanoethyl; B is protected A, C, G and T as defined in the text. Supports are prepared starting from long amino alkyl chain-controlled pore glass (LCAA-CPG, 500 Å).




FIGURE 2. Cleavage of NPE supports by 0.5 M DBU in pyridine. a) DMT-T-NPE, b) DMT-TT-NPE, c) DMT-A^{Bz}A^{Bz}ANPEOC-NPE, and d) DMT-TT-NPE in the presence of thymine.

solution gave a different profile (FIGURE 2). At short times, the cleavage efficiency was lower than without thymine. This fact could be due to a decrease on the concentration of the free DBU due to partial protonation caused by thymine. At long term, the cleavage efficiency increased steadily to arrive at 60% after 16 hours. These results suggested that maybe the lower efficiency on the cleavage of DMT-TT was due to the formation of some side product on the support during the DBU treatment that was not cleaved by DBU. One hypothesis was based on previous side reactions observed during DBU treatment with the cyanoethyl group used for the protection of the phosphate.^{4b} In this hypothesis the polymeric *o*-nitrostyrene coming from the breakdown of the NPE carbonate could react with released DMT-TT via Michael addition to yield a N¹-derivative (FIGURE 3). Also, nucleophilic attack of the thymine at the 5' end to the carbonate linker could be possible in the dimer but not at the monomer level (FIGURE 3).




FIGURE 3 : Side reactions that could explain the lower efficiency on NPE supports

To test these hypothesis, DMT-ABzABzANPEOC-NPE support was prepared. Michael additions with acrylonitrile formed during the elimination of cyanoethyl phosphates have not been observed in adenine.^{4b} Also, protected adenine will not react with the carbonate linker. When this support was treated with 0.5 M DBU, the cleavage efficiency was 85% in one hour without thymine (FIGURE 2) and a similar result was found after an overnight DBU treatment in the presence of thymine as scavenger.^{4b}

Therefore, the cleavage efficiency of oligonucleotides on *o*-nitrophenylethyl supports using DBU solutions is sequence-dependent and thymidine-rich oligonucleotides are cleaved less efficiently. The addition of thymine helps to increase the cleavage efficiency probably by competing with the oligonucleotide for reactive sites formed during or after the release of the oligonucleotide.

To find a solution to this problem, supports having different linker molecules were prepared and analyzed for their capacity of releasing thymidine-rich oligonucleotides.

Preparation of the succinyl, phthaloyl, FM, oxalyl and *o*-nitrobenzyl solid supports.

Supports having succinyl linker were obtained from commercial sources (Cruachem Ltd, Scotland). DMT-T-support having phthaloyl linker was obtained by reaction of DMT-T 3'-O-phthalate with amino-LCAA-CPG catalyzed by dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (DCC), hydroxybenzotriazole (HOBt) and N,N-dimethylaminopyridine (DMAP). DMT-nucleoside 3'-O-phthalate was obtained by reaction of DMT-T with phthalic anhydride and DMAP.

The method used for the preparation of the 9-fluorenylmethyl carbonate (FM) solid support was similar to the method described for the preparation of NPE supports⁴ and it had five steps: 1) preparation of methyl ester of the linker, 2) preparation of the chloroformate, 3) formation of the carbonate bond with protected nucleoside, 4) saponification of the methyl ester and 5) incorporation of the nucleoside-linker to the solid support (FIGURE 4). Reaction of N-[9-(hydroxymethyl)-2-fluorenyl] succinamic acid¹⁰ with methanol and acetyl chloride yielded the methyl ester that was treated with phosgene to produce the corresponding chloroformate. Reaction of DMT-T with the chloroformate in dichloromethane in the presence of 1 eq of DMAP yielded the desired carbonate in 51% yield after purification. A short treatment of the methyl ester with diluted NaOH solution yielded the desired FM linker derivative in 50% yield after isolation. The carboxylic acid derivative was reacted with amino-LCAA-CPG by activation with DCC, HOBt and DMAP. In summary, the method used for the preparation of the NPE linkers⁴ was also useful for the preparation of FM supports although yields with the FM linkers are lower probably due to the increased lability of the FM linker to bases.

Supports having the oxalyl linker were prepared as described.² Finally, a support having the *o*-nitrobenzyl group was prepared. 4-Hydroxymethyl-3-nitrobenzoic acid¹¹ was coupled to amino-LCAA-CPG by activation with DCC and HOBt. The addition of DMT-T phosphoramidite to the hydroxymethyl-3-nitrobenzamide support yielded, after oxidation, a photolabile *o*-nitrobenzyl phosphate.¹²




FIGURE 4 : Preparation of FM supports.

Cleavage of nucleosides and oligonucleotides from the supports with DBU.

Succinyl supports

DMT-T-succinyl support was treated with 0.5 M DBU in pyridine. The release of DMT-T was followed by quantitation of the absorbance of the DMT group in acid solutions. The cleavage was much slower than the cleavage of NPE supports: 20% after 2 hours, 66% after 6 hours and 76% after 16 hours.

The treatment of DMT-TT-succinyl support with the DBU solution gave a very different profile (FIGURE 5). After 4 hours only 22% of cleavage was observed and after 16 hours the cleavage efficiency reached 30%. On the other hand, DMT-AB₂AB₂-succinyl support reached a plateau around 75% cleavage yield after 6 hours. These results indicate that the low efficiency found in the cleavage of T-rich oligonucleotides in NPE supports was also happening on succinyl supports. In this case a nucleophilic attack of the thymine ring to the succinate ester function is also feasible and maybe this causes the lowering on the cleavage efficiency.

Phthaloyl supports

It has been described that phthaloyl linker is cleaved by DBU more readily than succinyl linker because intramolecular cyclisation is favored because the rigid conformation of the aromatic ring locates the groups involved in the intramolecular attack in close proximity.⁸ This was true for the cleavage of DMT-T-phthaloyl support with DBU solutions. In 1.5 hours a 65% of cleavage was observed. Unfortunately, the cleavage of DMT-TT phthaloyl support in DBU had a similar profile to DMT-TT succinyl support (see FIGURE 5). At the beginning the cleavage was faster with the phthaloyl support but they reach a similar plateau after 4 hours of reaction at 20-30 % yield.




FIGURE 5 : Cleavage of DMT-TT from different solid supports using a 0.5 M DBU solution in pyridine. a) oxalyl support, b) FM support, c) NPE support, d) phthatoyl support, e) succinyl support.

FM support.

Cleavage of DMT-T by treatment of DMT-T-FM support with DBU solutions was very fast. After 10 min no DMT-T was left on the support. Unfortunately the behavior of DMT-TT-FM support was similar to NPE supports. After 15 minutes the cleavage yield arrived to a plateau near 50% yield (FIGURE 5).

o-Nitrobenzyl support.

The *o*-nitrobenzyl phosphate support was not previously described but supports bearing similar linkages such as *o*-nitrobenzyl carbonate or ester have been recently described.³ DMT-T and DMT-TT-*o*-nitrobenzyl phosphate support were treated with 0.5 M DBU solution and they were stable to DBU. Only a cleavage of around 20% was observed after 16 hours. On the contrary, the linker was cleaved with concentrated

ammonia (85% after 16 hours treatment with concentrated ammonia at 50°C) and with irradiation with a 350 nm lamp (60%, after 6 hours of irradiation with an EYE H125 BL125 W black-light lamp).

Oxalyl support

It has been described that oxalyl supports are more sensitive to primary and secondary amines than succinyl supports.² But, they are stable to tertiary bases such as dry pyridine and triethylamine.² The behavior of this linkage in DBU solution was not described. We found that DMT-T oxalyl support was also very labile to DBU solutions. In less than 30 minutes no DMT-T was left on the support. When DMT-TT-oxalyl support was treated with DBU a plateau was reached after 30 minutes near 70% yield (FIGURE 5). This was the highest yield obtained in this study. The addition of thymine to the DBU solution did not affect the cleavage yield.

Two possible pathways could explain the cleavage of DMT-T oxalyl support. First and similarly to the succinyl linker, the carboxamido group could attack the oxalyl ester. The result of the intramolecular reaction will be DMT-T and a diketoazocyclopropane derivative (FIGURE 6). Also, as described for 3'-O-mesyl derivatives of thymidine the oxygen in position 2 could attack the 3'-position yielding *anhydro*-thymidine derivatives.¹³ In order of answer this question, the oligonucleotide 5' TTTTTT 3' was prepared on 1 μ mol using oxalyl support and DMT-T cyanoethyl phosphoramidite. The oligonucleotide support was treated with 0.5 M DBU in pyridine for 1 hour at room temperature. After removal of pyridine and DBU using a gel filtration column (Sephadex G-10) the oligonucleotide was purified by HPLC. The oligonucleotide was isolated on a 43% overall (synthesis and purification) yield. Mass spectrometry gave a molecular mass of 2066.6 corresponding to T₇ (expected 2066.3). Therefore, the formation of *anhydro*-T at the 3' end was ruled out.

In order to know if the carboxamido group was implicated in the cleavage of the oxalyl ester, a sarcosine (N-methylglycine) was incorporated between amino-LCAA-CPG and DMT-T-oxalyl linker. It has been described that the incorporation of the sarcosine in the succinyl linkage results in a succinyl support stable to DBU solutions.^{8,9} In this case, the resulting DMT-T-oxalyl-sarcosyl was also labile to DBU reaching a 80% cleavage yield after 1 hour. In the oxalyl case there is still the possibility of the formation of a six-membered ring when the carboxamido group attacks the oxalyl ester function. For this reason a second sarcosine was introduced. The resulting DMT-T-oxalyl-sarcosyl-sarcosyl-LCAA-CPG was more stable to DBU solution. After 1 hour 30 % cleavage was observed that reach a 50% yield after 3 hours. These results suggest the implication of the carboxamido group in the cleavage of the oxalyl ester.




FIGURE 6 : Cleavage of DMT-T-oxalyl supports by DBU.

Isolation of the aziridine derivative produced during the DBU cleavage of oxalyl supports.

The isolation of the aziridine derivative produced by the attack of the carboxamido to the ester was attempted. For that purpose DMT-O-(CH₂)₆-NH₂ was reacted with 5'-O-*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl thymidine (TBDPS-T), oxalyl chloride and triazole as described for the preparation of oxalyl-supports.² The resulting oxalyl derivative was isolated and characterized. During the washings with sodium bicarbonate solution some of the oxalyl derivative underwent to partial decomposition showing the easiness of cleavage of the oxalyl linker (FIGURE 7). Treatment of the oxalyl derivative with DBU yielded two products : 5'-O-*tert*-butyldiphenylsilyl thymidine and a new DMT-containing product that was different from the starting DMT-O-(CH₂)₆-NH₂. NMR data were in agreement with the expected 2,3-diketoaziridine derivative (one CO resonance on ¹³C-NMR). Characterization by mass spectrometry was also attempted but the product decomposed without giving the expected molecular mass.

Oligonucleotide synthesis using oxalyl supports.

Oxalyl supports having CNPEOC, GNPE,NPEOC, and ANPEOC were prepared and they were found also to be cleaved by DBU solutions in short time. Oligonucleotides 5'TTTTTC 3' and 5'TTTTTTG 3' were prepared on 1 μmol scale as described above. Oligonucleotides were isolated on 30-40% overall yield. Mass spectrometry confirm the expected molecular




FIGURE 7 : Decomposition of an oxalyl model compound by DBU

mass: T₆C (expected 2052.6, found 2051.2); DMT-T₆G (expected 2394.6, found 2394.0). Also, oligonucleotides containing 2-fluoro-2'-deoxyinosine have been prepared using DMT-NPE,NPEOC-protected nucleoside NPE-phosphoramidites^{6,7} and the appropriate oxalyl supports. Overall yields were 10-30%. Details on the preparation of these modified oligonucleotides will be published elsewhere.

Hexamer 5'GCGATC 3' was prepared on 1 μ mol scale using DMT- NPE, NPEOC-protected nucleoside H-phosphonates⁷ and DMT-CNPEOC-oxalyl support. The addition of the monomers was done manually using pivaloyl chloride as catalyst. Coupling efficiency was 95% yield per coupling. At the end of the synthesis, oxidation was performed⁷ and the support was treated with a 0.5 M DBU solution in pyridine containing thymine as scavenger. Purification of oligonucleotide was done by gel filtration (Sephadex G-10) followed by reversed-phase HPLC (DMT on and DMT off) but purified oligonucleotide was isolated in low yields (less than 5%). Enzyme digestion of the purified oligonucleotide gave the expected ratio of nucleosides. Also, oligonucleotides containing 2-fluoro-2'-deoxyinosine have been prepared using DMT-NPE,NPEOC-protected nucleoside H-phosphonates^{6,7} and oxalyl supports but very low yields have been obtained. The release of oligonucleotides from oxalyl supports was found to be complete by measuring the DMT groups remaining on the support after DBU treatment, but low recoveries of the products were found. Most probably premature cleavage of the oxalyl linkage under the basic conditions used during the assembly of the oligonucleotide in the H-phosphonate method could be the reason for the low recoveries.

In summary, we have found that small oligonucleotides containing thymidine are not released efficiently from NPE supports using non-nucleophilic conditions. We have analyzed different linkers and oxalyl supports gave the best results with small oligonucleotides containing thymidine. It should be stressed that oligonucleotides cannot

be efficiently released from succinyl supports with DBU solutions. This is general belief based on previous studies on nucleoside supports^{2,4,8,9} but it turns not to be a general rule for oligonucleotides.

The cleavage of the oxalyl linker by DBU was not previously described. It was found that the release of the oligonucleotide followed the same mechanism described for the succinyl linker in where the carboxamido group attacks the ester function yielding 3'-OH free oligonucleotide and an aziridine derivative. Moreover, the preparation of oxalyl supports were easier than NPE supports. As disadvantage, oxalyl linker was found to be not compatible to H-phosphonate chemistry because oligonucleotides were isolated in very low yields. But, on the other hand, oxalyl linker was useful to produce oligonucleotides without using ammonia if NPE, NPEOC protected phosphoramidites were used. The results shown here and the easiness of the preparation of oxalyl supports will facilitate the use of the *p*-nitrophenylethyl groups developed by the group of Dr. Pfleiderer¹⁴ for the preparation of oligonucleotides carrying ammonia sensitive molecules.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

Abbreviations used: A₂₆₀: absorbance at 260 nm, Bz : benzoyl, CPG: controlled-pore glass, DMAP: N,N-dimethylaminopyridine, DBU: 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DCM: dichloromethane, DMF : N,N-dimethylformamide, DMT: dimethoxytrityl, FM: 9-fluorenylmethyl linker; Fmoc: 9-fluorenylmethoxycarbonyl, LCAA: long chain amino alkyl, MeOH: methanol, NPE : (*p*-nitrophenyl)ethyl and (*o*-nitrophenyl)ethyl linkage, NPEOC : (*p*-nitrophenyl) ethoxycarbonyl, TBDPS : *t*-butyldiphenylsilyl.

DMT-dAN_{Npeoc} 14c, DMT-dCN_{Npeoc} 14c, DMT-dGN_{Npe,Npeoc}14d, DMT-T-NPE-CPG (4c) 4b, N-[9-(hydroxymethyl)-2-fluorenyl]succinamic acid¹⁰ and 4-hydroxymethyl-3-nitrobenzoic acid¹¹ were prepared following published procedures.

Preparation of DMT-T-phthaloyl support.

Phthaloyl support was prepared as described⁸. DMT-T (150 mg, 0.46 mmol) was dissolved in DCM and 102 mg (0.69mmol) of phthalic anhydride and 84.3 mg (0.69 mmol) of DMAP were added. After 16 hours of magnetic stirring at room temperature, the solution was diluted with DCM and washed with 0.1 M NaH₂PO₄ (2 x 50 ml) and saturated NaCl. The organic layer was dried and concentrated to dryness giving 0.31 g of DMT-T 3'-O-hemiphthalate (0.44 mmol) that was used without further purification. DMT-T 3'-O-hemiphthalate (0.44 mmol) was dissolved in DMF and 47.6 mg of HOBT (0.44

mmol) and 92.3 mg of DCC (0.44 mmol) were added and mixture was kept on ice for 10 minutes. The solution is added to 1.8 g of amino-LCAA-CPG (0.13 mmol, 500 Å, CPG Inc, New Jersey) together with 53.7 mg of DMAP (0.44 mmol). The mixture was kept at room temperature for 16 hours with occasional mixing. The solid support was filtered and washed with DMF. Unreacted amino groups were blocked by treatment of the support with a 10% acetic anhydride solution in pyridine for 30 minutes. The resulting support was filtered and washed with acetonitrile and dried. Loading was 15 μ mol of DMT per gram.

Preparation of DMT-T-FM-support.

N-[9-(hydroxymethyl)-2-fluorenyl]succinamic acid¹⁰ (250 mg, 0.8 mmol) was dissolved in 8.6 ml MeOH and 1.2 ml of acetyl chloride were added. After magnetic stirring for 20 minutes at room temperature the solution was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 50 ml of DCM and the solution washed with a saturated sodium carbonate solution (50 ml) and brine (50 ml). The organic layer was dried and concentrated to dryness yielding 250 mg of the methyl ester (96% yield). ¹H-NMR (Cl₃CD, 200 MHz) : 7.84 (s, 1H), 7.65 (d, 2H), 7.57 (d, 2H), 7.44-7.31 (m, 2H), 4.05 (t, 1H), 3.71 (m, 5H), 2.74 (t, 2H), 2.71 (t, 2H).

N-[9-(hydroxymethyl)-2-fluorenyl]succinamic methyl ester (200 mg) was dissolved in 5 ml of DCM and the solution is added slowly to a 1.9 M phosgene solution in toluene (2.2 ml) cooled with ice. After 1 hour of magnetic stirring at 4 °C the solution was maintained at room temperature for 16 hours. The excess of phosgene was eliminated by applying vacuum with a water aspirator and finally, the remaining solution was concentrated to dryness giving 270 mg of chloroformate as oil (91% yield) that was used without further purification. ¹H-NMR (Cl₃CD, 200 MHz) : 7.86 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d, 2H), 7.68 (d, 2H), 7.59-7.30 (m, 2H), 4.61-4.50 (m, 2H), 4.27 (t, 1H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 2.77 (t, 2H), 2.73 (t, 2H).

DMT-T (380 mg, 0.7 mmol) was dissolved in 20 ml of dry DCM and the solution was cooled with an ice bath. To the solution, 85.5 mg of DMAP (0.7 mmol) and 270 mg of the chloroformate prepared as described above were added. After the addition, the mixture was kept at room temperature for 4 hours. Afterwards, the solution was diluted with 50 ml of DCM and washed with ice-cooled water. The organic phase was dried and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified on silica gel eluted with a 0-5% methanol gradient in DCM. Yield 320 mg (51%). ¹H-NMR (Cl₃CD, 200 MHz) : 7.83 (s, 1H), 7.73 (d, 2H), 7.67 (d, 2H), 7.55 (d, 1H), 7.4-7.29 (m, 2H), 7.27 (m, 9H), 6.83 (d, 4H), 6.48 (dd, 1H), 4.5 (m, 3H), 4.24 (t, 1H), 4.18 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 3.73 (s, 3H), 3.47 (d, 2H), 2.74 (t, 2H), 2.72 (t, 2H), 2.49 (m, 1H), 1.40 (s, 3H).

DMT-T-FM-methyl ester (100 mg, 0.11 mmol) was dissolved in 6.4 ml of dry acetonitrile and 12.5 ml of a 0.05 M NaOH solution in water/ dioxane (1:1) were added. After 5 minutes of magnetic stirring the solution was neutralized by adding 0.036 ml of acetic acid (0.62 mmol) and concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in 40 ml of DCM and washed with a 10% citric acid aqueous solution cooled with ice. The organic phase was dried and concentrated to dryness yielding 50 mg (50 %) of an oil that was used without further purification. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ was similar than the previous compound except that the signal at 3.73 ppm corresponding at the methyl ester was not present anymore.

DMT-T-FM carboxylic acid was linked to amino-LCAA-CPG (500 Å, CPG Inc, New Jersey) as described for the phthaloyl linker. Loading 11 μmol per gram.

Preparation and properties of DMT-T-phosphate-*o*-nitrobenzyl support.

4-Hydroxymethyl-3-nitrobenzoic acid¹¹ (9.4 mg, 0.05 mmol) was dissolved in 2 ml of DMF and HOBt (6 mg, 0.05 mmol) and DCC (10 mg, 0.05 mmol) were added. The solution was kept on ice for 10 minutes and added to 250 mg of amino-LCAA-CPG (0.018 mmol, 71.3 μmol per gram, 500 Å, CPG Inc, New Jersey). The mixture was kept at room temperature for 16 hours with occasional mixing. The resin was filtered, washed with DMF and acetonitrile and dried. The support was negative to ninhydrin test.

The hydroxymethyl support was placed on a synthesis column and two 1 μmol synthesis were performed. In one column one addition of DMT-T cyanoethyl phosphoramidite was performed and a second synthesis with two T additions was performed. Standard 1 μmol scale cycles were used. Two aliquots of DMT-TT-*o*-nitrobenzyl support (6 mg) were treated with concentrated ammonia overnight at 55 °C. In both cases 80-85% cleavage yield was found as judged by DMT analysis. Two aliquots of DMT-TT-*o*-nitrobenzyl support (6 mg) were mixed with 1.5 ml of acetonitrile/ water (9:1) and the mixture was irradiated with an EYE H125 BL125 W black-light lamp for 6 hours. Cleavage yield was 60% as judged by the analysis of the DMT in the solution and the support. HPLC analysis of the filtrates showed a double peak corresponding to the two diastereoisomers of DMT-T-(5'-3')cyanoethyl phosphate-T-cyanoethyl monophosphate. Ammonia treatment followed by removal of DMT resulted one peak that coeluted with TpTp. Aliquots of DMT-T-*o*-nitrobenzyl supports were treated with 0.5 M DBU in pyridine and the presence of DMT in the filtrates was analyzed. Only 20% cleavage was found after 16 hours of treatment.

Preparation of oxalyl supports.

Oxalyl supports were prepared essentially as described by Letsinger². The method involves reaction of oxalyl chloride with 4.8 equivalents of 1,2,4-triazole in acetonitrile followed by addition of 1 equivalent DMT-protected nucleoside. After 1 hour the activated monomer is then added to amino-LCAA-CPG (500 Å, CPG Inc, New Jersey) and the mixture is kept at room temperature for 30 minutes. The support is filtered and washed. Unreacted amino groups are blocked with an equivolume mixture of 0.3 M DMAP and 0.6 M acetic anhydride in tetrahydrofuran. The capping solutions used in the DNA synthesizer could not be used because N-methylimidazole cleaves the oxalyl linkage in this step. During the assembly of the oligonucleotide no premature losses of oligonucleotide due to the N-methylimidazole solution were observed. Nucleoside loadings were 20-40 µmol per gram.

DMT-T-oxalyl-sarcosyl and DMT-T-oxalyl-sarcosyl-sarcosyl-support were prepared by successive additions of Fmoc-sarcosine and activated DMT-T-oxalate. For the incorporation of Fmoc-sarcosine, the method described by Gupta¹⁵ was used. In this method carboxylic acid is activated by triphenylphosphine, 2,2'-dithiobis-(5-nitropyridine) and DMAP. After the addition of Fmoc-sarcosine, the Fmoc group was removed with 20% piperidine in DMF. The filtrates were collected to quantify the loading (301 nm, 7.800). The initial loading of the CPG was 140 µmol per g. After the addition of the first Fmoc-sarcosine loading was 86 µmol per g and the second addition of Fmoc-sarcosine gave a loading of 67 µmol per g. The incorporation of activated DMT-oxalate gave the following loadings : DMT-T-oxalyl-Sar-CPG 24 µmol per g and DMT-T-oxalyl-Sar-Sar-CPG 10 µmol per g.

Evaluation of stability of nucleoside and oligonucleotide-supports to DBU.

Approx. 5 mg of the DMT-nucleoside and DMT-oligonucleotide-supports were mixed with 0.5 M DBU solution in pyridine or DCM or dioxane. At different times the supports were filtered and washed with pyridine and acetonitrile. The combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness and 5 ml of 60% perchloric acid / ethanol (3:2) was added slowly and the absorbance at 500 nm of the orange solution was measured. On the other hand, the support was treated with 2% dichloroacetic acid in DCM and filtered until all the orange color is removed from the support. The combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness and the residue was dissolved with 5 ml of 60% perchloric acid / ethanol (3:2). The absorbance at 500 nm was measured and added to the value obtained with the filtrates to

calculate the percentage of cleavage. Results were shown in FIGURES 2 and 5 and in the text.

Oligonucleotide synthesis and purification.

Sequence 5'GCGATC 3' was prepared using 35 mg (1 μ mol) of supports DMT-CNPEOC-oxalyl support and Npeoc, Npe protected nucleoside H-phosphonates⁷ on a home-made manual synthesizer. Syntheses were performed using the protocol described by Fröhler et al¹⁶ (coupling reagent : pivaloyl chloride). Coupling efficiencies were 95%. Oxidation of H-phosphonate polymers was performed as described¹⁶.

Sequences T₇, T₆G, T₆C were assembled using 35 mg (1 μ mol) of the appropriate oxalyl supports and DMT-T cyanoethyl phosphoramidite on an automatic DNA synthesizer (Applied Biosystems Mod. 392). Standard 1 μ mol scale synthesis cycles were used. Coupling efficiencies were higher than 98%.

After the assembly of the sequences the supports were treated with a 0.5 M DBU solution in *anhydrous* pyridine (2 ml) at room temperature for 1 (T₇), 4 (T₆C) and 16 hours (the rest). The deprotection solution for the DBU treatment in sequence GCGATC contained 5 mg of thymine as scavenger⁷. Deprotection solutions were neutralized with a 50% acetic acid aqueous solution, filtered and the supports were washed with pyridine and water. The combined filtrates were concentrated to dryness. The residues were dissolved in 20 mM triethylammonium acetate buffer and the solutions were desalted on a Sephadex G-10 column. The oligonucleotide containing fractions were analyzed and purified by HPLC. HPLC conditions were as follows : Column : Nucleosil 120C18 (200 x 4 mm), flow rate 1 ml / min, a 20 min linear gradient from 2 to 25% acetonitrile over 20 mM aqueous triethylammonium acetate. Overall (synthesis and purification) yields were: GCGATC 5%, T₇ 43%, T₆G 20%, T₆C 15%. Hexamer GCGATC was analyzed by snake venom phosphodiesterase and alkaline phosphatase digestion followed by HPLC analysis¹⁷. Heptamers were analyzed by mass spectrometry (electrospray) T₇: found 2066.6 expected 2066.3; DMT-T₆G: found 2394.0, expected 2394.6, T₆C: found 2051.2, expected 2052.6.

Preparation of O-DMT-6-amino-1-hexanol.

6-Chloro-1-hexanol (1g, 7.3 mmol) was reacted with potassium phthalimide (1.5 g, 8.1 mmol) in 50 ml of DMF at 60°C for 4 hours as described in ref. 18 giving 6-N-phthaloyl-6-amino-1-hexanol in 71 % yield. 6-N-phthaloyl-6-amino-1-hexanol (1g, 4.0 mmol) was reacted with DMT-Cl (1.55g, 4.4 mmol) in pyridine as described in ref. 18 yielding 1-O-DMT-6-N-phthaloyl-6-amino-1-hexanol in 78% yield. ¹³C-NMR (Cl₃CD):

168.2, 158.3, 145.4, 136.7, 133.7, 132.1, 129.9, 128.1, 127.5, 126.4, 123.0, 112.9, 85.6, 63.2, 55.0, 37.9, 29.8, 28.4, 26.7, 25.8.

6-N-phthaloyl-6-amino-1-hexanol (1g, 1.81 mmol) was dissolved with 80 ml of DCM-MeOH (1:3) and 0.09 ml of hydrazine hydrate was added. After 1 day of magnetic stirring at room temperature, the white precipitate of the phthalic acid hydrazide was filtered. The solution was concentrated to dryness and the residue was dissolved in CHCl_3 . The organic solution was washed with 1M sodium bicarbonate, dried and concentrated to dryness. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with a 10 to 30% MeOH gradient in CHCl_3 containing a 2% of triethylamine. Yield 690 mg (91%). ^{13}C -NMR (Cl_3CD): 158.2, 145.3, 136.7, 129.9, 128.1, 127.5, 126.4, 112.9, 85.6, 63.2, 55.0, 41.4, 32.2, 29.9, 26.6, 26.0.

Preparation of 5'-O-TBDPS-thymidine.

Thymidine (0.48g, 2 mmol) was dissolved in 10 ml of dry pyridine and the solution was cooled with an ice-bath. To this cooled solution 0.57 ml (2.2 mmol) of *t*-butyldiphenylsilyl chloride were added dropwise. After the addition the reaction mixture was stirred overnight at room temperature. The reaction was stopped by the addition of 10 ml of ethanol and the mixture was concentrated to dryness. The residue was dissolved in CHCl_3 and the solution was washed with 1 M NaHCO_3 , dried and concentrated to dryness. The residual oil was purified by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with a 0 to 5% MeOH gradient in CHCl_3 . Yield : 0.86g (89%). ^{13}C -NMR (Cl_3CD): 164.1, 150.7, 135.5, 135.3, 133.0, 132.5, 130.0, 129.9, 127.9, 111.1, 87.2, 84.8, 72.0, 64.2, 40.9, 26.9, 19.3, 11.9.

Preparation of N-(O-6-DMT-6-hydroxyhexyl) oxamic acid 5'-O-TBDPS-thymidinyl-3'-ester.

1,2,4-Triazole (345 mg, 5 mmol) was dissolved in 8 ml of acetonitrile and 0.8 ml of pyridine. To this solution 0.086 ml (1 mmol) of oxalyl chloride were added. After 10 minutes of magnetic stirring 529 mg (1.1 mmol) of TBDPS-T dissolved in 8 ml of acetonitrile and 4 ml of pyridine were added dropwise and the solution was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. To the resulting mixture 320 mg (0.76 mmol) of 1-O-DMT-6-amino-1-hexanol dissolved in acetonitrile-pyridine (1:1) were added. After 20 minutes of magnetic stirring, the total conversion of the starting DMT-aminohexanol ($R_f = 0.1$) to a new DMT containing product ($R_f = 1$) was observed by TLC (20% ethanol in DCM). The reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness and the residue was dissolved in CHCl_3 . The chloroform solution was washed with 1 M NaHCO_3 , dried and concentrated to dryness.

At this time TLC analysis (5% ethanol in DCM) of the mixture showed two new DMT containing products ($R_f = 0.85$ and 0.38) and a DMT negative product that had the same retention time than TBDPS-T ($R_f = 0.25$). Separation of the products was achieved by column chromatography on silica gel eluting with a 0-15% MeOH in CHCl_3 .

Product 1 ($R_f = 0.85$, DMT positive), yield 100 mg (0.21 mmol, 28%), was assigned to N-(6-O-DMT-6-hydroxyhexyl)-2,3-diketoaziridine. It was formed during the sodium bicarbonate washing step. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3): 7.4-7.1 (m, 9H), 6.9 (two d, 4H), 3.8 (s, 6H), 3.26 (m, 2H), 3.03 (m, 2H), 1.56 (m, 4H), 1.34 (m, 4H). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (CDCl_3): 159.9 (CO), 158.4, 145.4, 136.7, 130.0, 129.1, 128.2, 127.8, 127.6, 126.5, 113.0, 85.7, 63.2, 55.1, 39.6, 29.9, 29.1, 26.7, 25.9. IR (cm^{-1}): 1684 (CO st). MS (FAB+): 405.2 ($+\text{CH}_2-(\text{CH}_2)_5\text{-O-DMT}$); (laser-desorption) 303 (DMT+).

Product 2 ($R_f = 0.38$, DMT positive), yield 130 mg (0.14 mmol, 18%), was assigned to the desired oxamic acid derivative. $^1\text{H-NMR}$ (CDCl_3): 8.83 (wide s, 1H), 7.3-7.6 (m, 20H), 6.82 (d, 4H), 6.45 (dd, 1H), 5.54 (m, 1H), 4.22 (m, 1H), 4.0 (m, 2H), 3.79 (s, 6H), 3.32 (m, 2H), 3.06 (m, 2H), 2.61 (m, 1H), 2.47 (m, 1H), 1.60 (m, 7H), 1.37 (m, 4H), 1.11 (s, 9H). $^{13}\text{C-NMR}$ (CDCl_3): 163.7 (C4 thy), 160.3 (CO oxalyl ester), 158.3 (DMT), 155.6 (CO oxamic), 150.3 (C2 thy), 145.3, 136.6, 135.4, 135.1, 134.6, 133.0, 132.4, 129.9, 128.1, 127.9, 127.5, 126.4, 112.9, 111.3, 85.6, 84.3, 84.2, 77.1 (under CDCl_3 signal), 63.7, 63.1, 55.0, 39.9, 37.7, 29.8, 28.9, 26.9, 26.6, 25.8, 19.2, 11.9. IR (cm^{-1}): 1699, 1609.

Product 3 ($R_f = 0.25$, DMT negative), yield 370 mg (0.77 mmol, 70% of starting material), had the same NMR and TLC characteristics than starting TBDPS-T.

Treatment of product 2 with 0.5 M DBU solution in acetonitrile yielded products 1 and 3 that were isolated and characterized.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to CICYT (PB92-0043) and E.E.C.C. Biomedicine and Health Programme (BMH1-CT93-1669) for financial support. We thank Drs. Matthias Mann, Gitte Neubauer, Matthias Wilm (EMBL) and Dr. Irene Fernández (University of Barcelona) for obtaining mass spectra.

REFERENCES

1. Caruthers, M.H., Barone, A.D. Beaucage, S.L., Dodds, D.R., Fisher, E.F., McBride, L.J., Matteucci, M., Stabinsky, Z. and Tang, J.-Y. *Meth. Enz.* **1987**, *154*, 287-313.

2. Alul, R.H., Singman, C.N., Zhang, G. and Letsinger, R.L. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **1991**, *19*, 1527-1532.
3. a) Greenberg, M.M. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1993**, *34*, 251-254; b) Greenberg, M.M. and Gilmore, J.L. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1994**, *59*, 746-753; c) Matray, T.J., Haxton, K.J. and Greenberg, M.M. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **1995**, *23*, 4642-4648; d) Greenberg, M.M. *Tetrahedron*, **1995**, *51*, 29-38; and e) Venkatesan, H. and Greenberg, M.M. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1996**, *61*, 525-529.
4. a) Eritja, R., Robles, J., Fernandez-Forner, D., Albericio, F., Giralt, E., Eritja, R and Pedroso, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1991**, *32*, 1511-1514 and b) Eritja, R., Robles, J., Aviñó, A., Albericio, F., and Pedroso, E. *Tetrahedron*, **1992**, *48*, 4171-4182.
5. Polushin, N.N. and Cohen, J.S. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **1994**, *22*, 5492-5496.
6. Aviñó, A., Güimil-Garcia, R., Marquez, V. and Eritja, R. *BioMed. Chem. Lett.*, **1995**, *5*, 2331-2336.
7. Aviñó, A. and Eritja, R. *Nucleosides & Nucleotides* **1994**, *13*, 2059-2069.
8. Brown, T., Pritchard, C.E., Turner, G., and Salisbury, S.A. *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.*, **1989**, 891-893.
9. Stengele, K., and Pfeleiderer, W. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1990**, *31*, 2549-2552.
10. Rabanal, F., Giralt, E., and Albericio, F. *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1992**, *24*, 1775-1778.
11. Loyd-Williams, P., Gairí, M., Albericio, F. Giralt, E. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 9867.
12. Pillai, V.R.N. *Synthesis* **1980**, 1-26.
13. Glinski, R.P., Khan, M.S., Kalamas, R.L. and Sporn, M.B. *J. Org. Chem.*, **1973**, *38*, 4299-4305.
14. a) Pfeleiderer, W., Schwarz, M. and Schirmeister, H. *Chemica Scr.*, **1986**, *26*, 147-154. b) Pfeleiderer, W., Himmelsbach, F., Charubala, R., Schirmeister, H., Beiter, A., Schulz, B., and Trichtinger, T. *Nucleosides and Nucleotides*, **1985**, *4*, 81-94, c) Himmelsbach, F., Schulz, B.S., Trichtinger, T., Charubala, R., and Pfeleiderer, W. *Tetrahedron*, **1984**, *40*, 59-72 and d) Schirmeister, H., Himmerlsbach, F., and Pfeleiderer, W. *Helv. Chim. Acta*, **1993**, *76*, 385-401.
15. Gupta, K.C., Kumar, P., Bhathia, D. and Sharma, A.K. *Nucleosides & Nucleotides* **1995**, *14*, 829-832.
16. Froehler, B.C., Ng, P.G. and Matteucci, M.D. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **1986**, *14*, 5399-5407.
17. Fernandez-Forner, D., Palom, Y., Ikuta, S., Pedroso, E., Eritja, R. *Nucleic Acids Res.*, **1990**, *18*, 5729-5734.
18. Aviñó, A., Güimil-Garcia, R., Albericio, F., Mann, M., Wilm, M., Neubauer, G., Eritja, R. *BioMed Chemistry*, **1996**, in press.

Received June 4, 1996

Accepted September 23, 1996